Approved For Release 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP61-00391R000200220075-9

III

25X1A9a

10 Peb 1958 25X1A9a

25X1A9a

PROBLEMS OF THE YEAR ITORIAL SEA

As international conference on the law of the sea-beginning on 24 February at Geneva-is being convened to draw up agreements defining muserous aspects of interactional law pertaining to the' sea. One of the most difficult problems the conference will attempt to solve is that dealing with the width of the "territorial sea" over which a coastal state has full sovereignty. Conflicting interests over territorial waters have given rise to numerous disputes over a long period, the more recent of which have been those regarding the waters of the Soviet Union, Isdonesia, Iceland, and the Gulf of Agaba. (Secret)

CIVE PARTILI 16 Feb 58 25X1A9a

25X1A9a

PROBLEMS OF THE TERRITORIAL STA

The divergent claims of constal states over their adjacont weamons will be highlighted at the international confurence on the law of the sea at Geneva beginning 24 February. The conference, under United Nations epongorabip, is being convened to draw up agreements defining sumerous aspects of interactional law portaining to the wea. Representatives of about 39 countries will attend. The problem of the width of the "territorial sea," over which a constal state has full sovereighty will be one of the most difficult that the conference will attempt to solve.

The three sautical-mile limit for the territorial sea has never enjoyed universal acceptasco, and it has come under increasing attack since the end of World War II. Traditionally the principal maritime countries such as the Daited Eingdon. the United States, and Japan have favored the marrow threemile limit for the area of exclusive national jurisdiction. Aligned against these maritime powers are the countries with limited maritime interests, countries desiring oxclusive control over off shore saring

The Metabolisms

resources, and many of the new
Afro-Asian states. Of 63 countal
states having definite policies,
only about 30 restrict their
claims to three miles. Several
of these 30 are on the point
of making wider claims.

The Soviet Union, has long claimed a 12-mile limit and has sought to close additional areas fringing its consts.
Several Soviet satellites, together with about four free world states, also claim 12 miles, and most of the Arab states, indonesia, and possibly some other countries seem ready to concur. Easy states maintain limited jurisdiction over "continguous somes" outside their territorial sees.

In view of the growing popularity of wider claims it seems doubtful that the threemile principle will be acceptable to the conference. The British are reported ready to offer a compromise proposal. possibly of six miles, rather then see the conference adopt a 12-mile limit or fall to come to any agreement. The Soviet bloc will doubtless attempt to exploit the differences between the three-mile advocates and the other conference members. Czechoslovakia and Afghanistan

pre-conference meeting of some 13 "uncommitted" landlocked states, probably to influence their views on the territorial near question and other issues, although the main interest of most of the landlocked dates in the question of access to the near. In another meeting the Bordic countries are attempting to coordinate the positions that they will take at the conference.

Conflicting interests in respect to territorial vaters have given rise to numerous disputes over a long period of time, notably those regarding the vaters of the USER, Indonesia, Icoland, and the Gulf of Agaba.

naeu

Following Czariet procedents, the Soviet Union has formalized its claim to sorereignty over a 12-mile zone. After World War II the USBS became embroiled with Sweden and Donmark when it extended its 12-mile claim to the Caltic const. where three- and fourmile limits has praviously been recognized. It has also 0/aimed carried the waters of the Bering Sea exstrard to the Bering Stait. There are also indications that the USER regards

the Arctic Ocean from the coast to the North Folo-particularly the Enra, Lapter, and Sast Siberian Seas—as within its jurisdiction.

The USAR ban several times stempted without success to secure Japanese assent to closing the sea of Japan to naval vessels of these countries not bordering on the nes. Somiofficially, the Sussians bave described the Sea of Skhotek as a "great bay" surrounded by Soviet territory that should be closed to outside ships and sircraft, a view aimed particularly at Jesanose fishing floots. The latest move to exclude foreign vessels and sircraft from Far Eastern waters occurred on 21 July 1957 with the closing of Poter the Great Bay, alts of the Soviet Pacific Floot bondquarters. The USSR claimed the bay was part of its internal waters on geographic, historie, economic, and scentily groupes. The closing of the bay has been protested by a number of lee world governments.

indones La

The Indonesian Council of Ministers empowed proposed legislation on 13 December ---

PAGE 5 around the islands comprising the republic as "mational vators" meder Indonesian sovereignty. Indonesia's territorial sca would also be extended contward 13 mautical miles from straight base lines drawn to connect the outermost paints of the islands. This legislation would provide the limited float of Indonesian patrol vessels with a legal basis for controlling sauggling and unauthorized barter trade currently pluguing the central government. Indonesia vill defend its position be fore the Geneva conference, and little opposition is expected to pansage of the the legislation by the Indeposing Parliament.

The proposed legislation constitutes a radical departure from the Dutch ordinance providing a three-mile limit around individual islands. Large expapers of water and strategic passages such as the Java Bea. Banda Sea, Makassar Stralt, and Sunda Strait--herotofore considered international vaters -would become indomesian "antional vators." Several Auropean governments, Australia, and the United States have protested the move. Canada, mindful of its islands in the Arctic bas refrained from

protesting. Although the new for law would guarantee passage/foreign wessels on lawful missions, the protesting states point to it as an interference with freedom of maxigation on the high seas.

Recland

In May 1953 the leelandic Covernment established a zone barred to foreign fishing vegaels which extends four miles seavard MERCHALL from straight base lines drawn from outermost points of coasts, lulands, and rocks and across the openings of have. Since the coast of Island is oulto irregiar. this action resulted in the inclusion of large water areas. The staight-base-line mathed, differing from the method of following constal irregularities, had been given qualified approval by the International Court of Justice in the Anglo-Norweglam fisheries case in 1951. Iceland also denousced a 1901 treaty whorehy British vessels were allowed fishing rights within a three-mile zone. The Icelandic action was protested by the Exited Kingdom and other states, and a ban was imposed on the importation of Iceland's fish into British markets. This move was dispatrous for the

COMMUNICATION AND ADDRESS OF PAGE 7 the Icelandic economy, forcing a search for alternate markets in Italy, the United States, and the USSR. So compromise was reached in the dispute until 1956 when it was agreed that specified amounts of Iceland's fish could be landed in Britais. Iceland still maistains its right to exclusive jurisdiction over its adjacent sens, and may even extend its fishing limits in the near future.

Gulf of Agaba

The problem of the Gulf of Agaba involves the laste of freetom of mavigation is a barrow arm of the sea. Although Eppt. Saudi Arabia, and Israel to date claim a six-mile limit. this claim is of little comequence since the stratogic southern outrance through the Strait of Tiran is only about four miles wide, and the morthers end of the gulf bordering Israel and Jerces sarross to less than four miles. The Strait of Tiran is bordered by the Egyptian mainland and by Tiran Island, which is claimed by Jaudi Arabia.

Egypt and Saudi irabia claim that the gulf should be divided between them by a median line and the entrance THE STATE OF THE STATE OF

itself is not internation water. a contention made only after the founding of Israel is order to isolate the largeli port of Kilat. The United Kingdom and the United States maintain that the gulf and its ontranco are international waters. By the Egyptian-loraeli armistice of 1949. Impault maval vessels are excluded from the gulf. Egypt and Smedi Arabia do mot at present exforce their claims because United Estions forces stationed on the Strait of Tires sisce Earch 1957 insure free passage of the gulf. Territorial rights in the vaters at the bead of the gulf are complicated by conflicting definitions of the Israeli-Jordan and Jordan-Saudi Arabia boundaries. ((()

PREPARED by ORR